Etchant-induced shaping of nanoparticle catalysts during chemical vapor growth of carbon nanofibres: supplementary data

Z. B. He, a J.-L. Maurice, a,* C. S. Lee, a A. Gohier, D. Pribat, a,b P. Legagneux c and C.-S. Cojocaru, a

Finding growth axis and facet orientations with TEM

As TEM provides projections of 3-dimensional objects, a single image is generally not sufficient to characterise a given axis as the growth axis, or a given flat in the image as a facet. A means, to check whether a given flat in the image is a planar facet viewed in cross-section or an edge, is to look at the contrast of the particle: dark and slowly varying for a planar surface, it should be bright at an edge, with equal-thickness fringes in zone axis illumination. However, tilting the sample about different axes remains the only way to make sure that a given facet exists [1]. Figure S1 gives an example of that procedure, where we have tilted the nanofibre, first around its axis, and then around an axis perpendicular to it (adapted from ref. [1]).
Fig. S1. (a-c) A series of TEM bright-field images of the same Ni particle taken at the same magnification, but viewed along different zone axes (adapted from ref. [1]): (a) [-100]; (b) [-1-10]; and (c) [-2-11]; (d) schematics of the tilt angle from (a) to (c). The growth direction of the CNF is determined as [011] from (a) and (b). Note that if the CNF is tilted by a large angle from the horizontal, the growth direction deduced from the corresponding diffraction pattern is not the real value, e.g. [-112] in (c). Actual facets of the present particle have {111}, {100} and {110} orientations. The {311} “facets” in (a) actually correspond to edges between the former [1]. Nanofibre grown in H2O:IPA (isopropyl alcohol) at 600°C.
Complementary data on the sensitivity of growth rate on the nature of etchant

Figure S2 compares the results of growing CNFs at 650° with the two gas mixtures CH₄:H₂:H₂O (2:2:1) (fig. S2a), and C₂H₂:NH₃ (1:4) (fig. S2b). Although the growth time was one third longer with the former (dewetting period excluded), the final nanotubes are more than twice longer, which shows that C₂H₂:NH₃ is not as efficient, in terms of growth rate, as CH₄:H₂:H₂O, while acetylene has been shown to accelerate growth with respect to methane when the same ammonia is used as etchant [2]. The hydrogen-water vapour mix appears to have not only annihilated the handicap of methane, but also brought an additional speeding factor.
Figure S2. SEM images of vertically aligned top-type CNFs grown for 30 min at 650°C in hydrogen-water (H₂-H₂O) and ammonia (NH₃). Note the different scales: the H₂O fibers are approximately twice as long as the NH₃ ones (the inset in H₂O is at the same scale as NH₃). The Ni particles have facets in the case of H₂O, and rounded shapes in the case of NH₃.