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\textbf{Abstract} ALINEA is a well known ramp metering closed-loop control the aim of which is to improve highway traffic. This report shows that ALINEA may be slightly modified in order to be efficiently implemented without any need of crucial time-varying quantities, like the critical density and the free-flow speed, which are most difficult to estimate correctly online. Some convincing computer experiments, which employ real data, are displayed and discussed.
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\section{Introduction}

The goal of \textit{ramp metering} is to improve the highway traffic conditions by an appropriate regulation of the inflow from the on-ramps to the highway mainstream (see, e.g., [19]). This is depicted in Figure 1 where

- \( q_r \), in veh/s, is the ramp flow related to the control variable \( r \),
- \( w \) represents the queue length in vehicles,
- \( d \), in veh/s, is the ramp demand,
- \( q_e \), in veh/s, is the upstream segment flow,
- \( q_s \), in veh/s, is the downstream segment flow,
- \( \rho_s \), in veh/m, is the segment density,
- \( v_s \), in m/s, is the segment speed.

Quite diverse approaches have been proposed. Among the various feedback control laws which may be found in the literature, ALINEA, which

- is an acronym of the French words \textit{Asservissement LINéaire d’Entrée Autoroutière},
- was introduced more than twenty five years ago ([8, 9, 20]),
certainly still is the most popular one, thanks to the numerous variants which have been published (see, e.g., [17, 21, 22], and the references therein). Moreover, ALINEA is, to the best of our knowledge, one on the very few closed-loop control synthesis which has been implemented in practice. Notice that some open-loop control strategies have also been used (see, e.g., [12, 15]).

The quality of any control law depends on a good knowledge of the highway characteristics, especially the critical density and the free-flow speed, which are unfortunately most difficult to estimate in real time. The purpose of this study is to show that a slight change of the ALINEA algorithm may be successfully implemented without the need of such a knowledge. This is an adaptation of a similar result [2] for an “intelligent” controller derived from model-free control [7].

Our paper is organized as follows. Short reviews on the traffic parameter estimation and on the usual ALINEA control algorithm are provided respectively in Sections 2 and 3.1. Section 3.2 displays our modified ALINEA algorithm. Computer experiments are discussed in Section 4. The conclusion in Section 5 explains why we are publishing this research report neither in a journal nor in the proceedings of a conference.

2 Fundamental diagram: a short overview

Most important parameters for obtaining a good traffic characterization may be obtained from May’s fundamental diagram [14], depicted in Figure 2. This diagram is defined by

\[
V(\rho) = v_f \exp \left( -\frac{1}{a} \left( \frac{\rho}{\rho_c} \right)^a \right)
\]

(1)

where

- \( \rho \) is the density of the segment \( i \) of the highway,
- \( V \) is the corresponding mean speed,
- \( v_f \) is the free-flow speed,
- \( \rho_c \) the critical density,
- \( a \) is a model parameter.

Let us stress that Formula (1) is

- not derived from any law of pure physics,
- a rather rough heuristic approximation.

Offline techniques like, e.g., [3, 6, 11, 13] do not permit to take into account of the parameter variations. This explains the development of several online settings, like

1. extended Kalman filters (see, e.g., [16, 24, 25]),
2. adaptive least square techniques (see, e.g., [23]),
3. algebraic estimation techniques [1, 2],
4. various other viewpoints (see, e.g., [4, 10, 26]).

Although the third approach yields often fair results, it should be stressed that the approximate nature of Equation (1) does not allow until today fully satisfactory estimates.

3 ALINEA

3.1 Basic ALINEA

The feedback loop defining ALINEA reads

\[
r(k) = r(k-1) + K_I (\rho^* - \rho_t)
\]

where

- \( \rho_t \) is the density of the segment \( i \) of the highway,
Figure 2. Fundamental diagram: an example

- \( r(k) \), which is the rate of ramp inflow (see Figure 1), stands for the control variable,
- the gain \( K_I \) is the only adjustment parameter,
- the segment density \( \rho_s \) (see Figure 1) stands for the output variable,
- \( \rho^* \) is the reference trajectory,
- \( e = \rho^* - \rho_s \) is the tracking error.

ALINEA may be therefore viewed as
- a discrete-time analogue of a simple integrator,
- corresponding to the I in the classic PID controllers,

The reference \( \rho^* \) is usually close to the critical density \( \rho_c \), i.e., a quantity which is, as already stated, most difficult to estimate.

3.2 Modifying ALINEA

The following rules for choosing \( \rho^* \) permit to bypass the above calibration:
- Let \( V_{\text{filtered}} \) be the filtered mean speed and \( V_{\text{threshold}} \) the speed threshold.\(^1\)
- If \( V_{\text{filtered}} > V_{\text{threshold}} \), then \( \rho^* = \rho_{d0} + \rho_{\text{inc}} \).
- If \( V_{\text{filtered}} < V_{\text{threshold}} \), then \( \rho^* = \rho_{d0} - \rho_{\text{dec}} \).

4 Computer experiments

Our computer simulations are based on numerical data which are collected from the French highway A4Y with one on-ramp; see Figures 3 and 4-(d). The macroscopic models (see, e.g., [18]), which are employed for computer simulations, are
- heuristic,
- quite sensitive to parameter variations and uncertainties.

The only available accurate physical law is the conservation equation. All other equations, which for instance are connected to the speed and the fundamental diagram, are based on empirical observations which yield coarse approximations. The main parameters such as the critical density and the free-flow speed are moreover subject to variations.

Two cases are studied:

1. ALINEA control by setting, as in Section 3.1, \( \rho^* = \rho_c \), which is assumed to be well estimated and constant: see Figures 4 and 5.

\(^1\)Concrete studies (see, e.g., [5]) have demonstrated that the level of service is highly damaged and that the congestion phenomenon is reaching its maximum, when the mean speed of individual vehicle is about 5 m/s. The threshold of discomfort is reached, when this speed is equal to 20 m/s.
2. ALINEA control by selecting $\rho^*$ as in Section 3.2: see Figures 6 and 7.

The control is relaxed if the queue length $w$ (see Figure 1) is greater than 500. Some numerical results for important quantities are reported in Tables 1 and 2. The differences between those results for the two cases is rather small. It confirms that the tedious estimation of the critical density may be avoided without any harm.

5 Conclusion

Due the difficulties related to the online estimation, only real data with a constant critical density were available. Although computer simulations with an artificial time-varying critical density were achieved, which show a great superiority of our modified ALINEA, we decided not to show them here. We hope that some future work will confirm this fact via real data and concrete experiments.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Total Time Spent</th>
<th>Mean Speed</th>
<th>Mean Speed (with queue)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case 1</td>
<td>9.2270e+06</td>
<td>15.1810</td>
<td>7.5590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 2</td>
<td>9.1320e+06</td>
<td>15.3388</td>
<td>7.2552</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Summary of a single day

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Total Time Spent</th>
<th>Mean Speed</th>
<th>Mean Speed (with queue)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case 1</td>
<td>6.3191e+06</td>
<td>11.4727</td>
<td>5.0550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 2</td>
<td>6.2654e+06</td>
<td>11.5734</td>
<td>5.0309</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Congestion times between 7.30am and 9.30am, and between 3pm and 8pm
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Figure 5. Case 1: Evaluations
Figure 6. Case 2: simulation results
Figure 7. Case 2: Evaluations


