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SUMMARY

Spatiotemporal variations of neurogenesis are thought to account for the evolution of brain shape. In the dorsal telencephalon (pallium) of vertebrates, it remains unresolved which ancestral neurogenesis mode prefigures the highly divergent cytoarchitectures that are seen in extant species. To gain insight into this question, we developed genetic tools to generate here the first 4-dimensional (3D + birthdating time) map of pallium construction in the adult teleost zebrafish. Using a Tet-On-based genetic birthdating strategy, we identify a “sequential stacking” construction mode where neurons derived from the zebrafish pallial germinal zone arrange in outside-in, age-related layers from a central core generated during embryogenesis. We obtained no evidence for overt radial or tangential neuronal migrations. Cre-lox-mediated tracing, which included following Brainbow clones, further demonstrates that this process is sustained by the persistent neurogenic activity of individual pallial neural stem cells (NSCs) from embryo to adult. Together, these data demonstrate that the spatiotemporal control of NSC activity is an important driver of the macro-architecture of the zebrafish adult pallium. This simple mode of pallium construction shares distinct traits with pallial genesis in mammals and non-mammalian amniotes such as birds or reptiles, suggesting that it may exemplify the basal layout from which vertebrate pallial architectures were elaborated.

INTRODUCTION

The dorsal telencephalon (pallium) of vertebrates is involved in complex sensory processing and cognitive operations. It derives from homologous developmental territories between species, which also partly share pallial organization and connectivity but display huge variations in pallial morphology. How this diversity in morphology has arisen such that species-specific adult cytoarchitectures can be constructed from the same set of developmental genes and fields to achieve similar functions is not fully understood.

There are several potential drivers of species-specific variations in brain size and architecture, such as the spatiotemporal control of progenitors’ neurogenic activity, neurogenesis lineages, neuronal migration, or neuronal death. The relative contribution of these events to pallium construction has been best studied in the neocortex of mammals and its homologous pallial territory in birds and reptiles [1, 2]. In mammals, neural progenitor cells (NPCs) along the neocortical ventricular zone (VZ) are multipotent and sequentially produce distinct excitatory neuronal subtypes, either directly or through transient amplifying progenitors (TAPs) [3], following a chronological switch of fate determinants inside single NPCs [4–7]. When complemented by radial migration, this process generates a layered functional organization of excitatory neurons reflecting neuronal birthdate, ordered from deep (old) to upper (young) layer identities in an “inside-out” pattern [8] (Figure S1Aa). Classically, layer 4 (L4) neurons receive thalamic afferents and, together with upper-layer neurons, are involved in intra-cortical circuitries, whereas deep layers (L5) host projection neurons.

The temporal regulation of encoding neuronal subtypes by NPCs is shared between amniote species [9, 10]. However, the macro-organization of the pallium differs strikingly between mammalian and non-mammalian amniotes. In birds and reptiles,
the pallial domain develops from a progenitor field homologous to the neocortex [11, 12], contains identical neuronal subtypes, is involved in similar circuities [13–15], and is largely organized in functional fields or nuclei (Figures S1Ab and S1Ac) [2]. In birds, distinct regulation of neurogenesis timing (on- and offset times) was observed along the medio-lateral axis of the developing pallial VZ [9]. Because neuronal identities are birthdate dependent, this could generate differently sized and functionally specialized nuclei as opposed to layers [9] (Figure S1Ab)—although whether this occurs within individual functional networks is unclear [15]. The reptilian neocortex, in its dorsal sector, exhibits a three-layered laminar structure, generated in a radial outside-in pattern during a brief temporal window [10, 16]. The relative positions of L4 and L5 neuronal fields are also suggestive of spatial restrictions in NPC activities along the VZ (Figure S1Ab) [10, 15]. Overall, it has proven difficult to infer from these comparisons what could be the ancestral strategy involved in building neocortical (or, more generally, pallial) architecture.

We identify here the spatiotemporal events driving pallium construction in the teleost zebrafish as a representative of a more distant anamniote non-tetrapod vertebrate. This first “3D + birthdating time” pallial neuronal map reveals an outside-in organization where neurons order in age-dependent sheets and where most individual NPCs are neurogenic throughout their lifetime. This globally simple strategy of pallium construction bears reminiscence with mechanisms in amniotes, although whether this occurs within individual functional networks is unclear [15]. One of the pallium’s earliest events is positioning the VZ, which contains NPC bodies, at the surface of the hemispheres [17]. The ventricle is enclosed by a stretching sheet of cells derived from the roof plate, the tela choroida, attached to the base of the everted folds (Figure S1B). To analyze the ontogeny of the zebrafish adult pallium, we compared PCNA expression with RG markers from 2 days post-fertilization (dpf) to 3 months post-fertilization (mpf). At all times, PCNA expression in the pallium was largely restricted to RGs themselves, failing to indicate a non-RG, proliferating sub-VZ (Figure S2, insets). We previously reported that lineage amplification from RGs is limited in adults [28]; thus, this property extends to the stages of pallium construction.

In the following section, “NPCs” (versus RGs) will refer to the population of neural progenitors as a whole (RG + intermediate progenitors), or to a neural progenitor whose nature was not precisely defined.

**H2a-mCherry Retention Is a Suitable Birthdating Strategy in the Zebrafish Pallium**

We reasoned that, in light of the minimal amplification exhibited by neurogenic lineages in the zebrafish pallium, we should be able to use a retention assay as a neuronal birthdating strategy. The Histone-fluo retention assay (a fluorescent reporter fused to stable Histone2a or H2b proteins) was efficiently used to study stem cell divisions in mammals [29, 30]. We thus developed an in vivo birthdating method based on Tet-On elements [31] that would lead to the expression of H2a-mCherry upon induction. In the driver line Tg(her4:rtTA, GFP:cmlc2), the her4/Hes5 NPC-specific promoter drives expression of reverse tetracycline-controlled transcriptional transactivator rtTA with a Flag epitope (Figure 1A). This line faithfully drives rtTA expression in all pallial RGs (Figure S3A). In the reporter line Tg(GFP:biTRE: H2amCHERRY, crist:Venus), the bidirectional tetracycline responsive element biTRE drives expression of both GFP and an H2a-mCherry fusion protein (Figure 1A).

We induced rtTA activity in double-transgenic animals by a 9-tert-butylidoxycycline (9TB) treatment [32]. No leaky H2a-mCherry expression was detected without 9TB (not shown). Upon 9TB application, H2a-mCherry was selectively induced in RGs at all stages (Figures S3C–S3G). One day after treatment, most RGs (ventricular GFP-positive, Flag-positive cells) expressed high levels of H2a-mCherry, indicating efficient induction (Figures S3C–S3G). Induction frequency was homogeneous along the antero-posterior axis, 80% of VZ cells being H2a-mCherry positive at anterior, middle, and posterior pallial levels after a treatment at 5 dpf (Figure S3B). At 1.5 mpf, however, induction appeared less efficient in the medial domain of Di (Figure S3G, red asterisk). Together, this strategy allowed us to pulse-label RGs with H2a-mCherry at all stages, and perform fate tracking at the population level.

A birthdating method implies that the tracer highlights cells generated within a restricted number of cell divisions following induction. Therefore, a non-dividing RG should retain its initial mCherry staining, whereas daughter cells of a dividing RG should inherit half its mCherry content, progressively diluting out the label. Low amounts of 9TB and short chase times were used to validate these dilution properties on polyclones induced at 1 dpf and analyzed at 5 dpf (Figure 1B). The amount of mCherry per nucleus was inferred from summing mCherry fluorescence staining intensity over the whole nucleus volume, measured using Imaris software. We observed a 2-fold dilution of the mCherry content after each division (Figure 1B). Finally, we verified that label-retaining cells in our system were indeed mainly neurons: after 9TB treatment at 1 dpf and a 4-day chase, all mCherry-positive cells were positive for the neuronal marker HuC/D (Figure 1C). Thus, the neurons generated after few divisions from transiently induced her4-positive pallial RGs retain
H2a-mCherry, and the time of 9TB application is a proxy for their birthdate.

**Pallial Neurogenesis Follows a Sequential Stacking Process**

We timed neuron generation from her4-positive pallial RGs at embryonic, larval, and juvenile stages (10 somites, 1–2–5–15 dpf and 1.5 mpf) in Tg(her4:rtTA);Tg(GFP:biTRE:H2a-mCherry) double-transgenic animals (hereafter referred to as her4H2a-mCherry,9TB(t) for a 9TB induction at time t). To position these neurons within the adult pallium, we first analyzed a mid-antero-posterior level, identified by the joint presence of the dorsal sulcus ypsilomformis (sy) and the lateral sulcus (ls) (Figure S1B). Co-immunostaining of mCherry with parvalbumin (PV) together with the sulci landmark helped identify neuroanatomical territories: the lateral pallium (Dl) (containing PV-positive neuronal cell bodies), the medial pallium (Dm) (located medial to sy), and a deep domain recognized as Dc (enriched in PV projections) [33] (Figure S1B; Figure 2A).

Early 9TB treatments (10 somites, 1–2 dpf) generated mCherry-positive neurons positioned deep into the pallial parenchyma, close to the pallial-subpallial boundary (Figures 2A–2B), in a domain overlapping with Dc. In her4H2a-mCherry,9TB(5dpf) animals, the mCherry-positive domain partly overlapped with this earlier-generated territory in medial locations but was otherwise positioned more dorsally and laterally and precisely surrounded Dc, including neurons in the deepest regions of Dm, Dl, and Dp (Figures 2C–2D; Figures S4M and S4N). With increasing induction stages (15 dpf and beyond), concentric horseshoe domains were again progressively displaced to more medial, dorsal, and lateral positions (Figures 2C–2E). The neurons generated at late stages (1.5 mpf) formed the most superficial area of the pallium (Figures 2F and 2F'). The paucity of neurons generated at 1.5 mpf in the most dorsal aspect of the pallium is consistent with a lower efficacy of 9TB induction in this area at this late stage (Figure S3G). The narrow territory built by pallial neurons
Figure 2. Zebrafish Pallial Neurogenesis Follows a Sequential Stacking Process: Medio-lateral Analysis

Top: experimental design. (A–F') Distribution of mCherry-positive neurons (A–F) born from her4-positive RGs in her4^{h2a-mCherry} induced with 9TB at the stages indicated. Cross-sections at 3 mpf at mid-antero-posterior levels, the level indicated by a red line on telencephalon dorsal view, are co-labeled for parvalbumin (PV; A'–F'). Solid white lines indicate pallium-subpallium boundary. Scale bar, 50 μm. (G) Color-coded map of the position in the adult brain (left: “open” whole-mount view; middle: horizontal section; right: cross-section) of the neurons born from her4-positive RGs at the stage indicated. See also Figure S4.
between 1.5 and 3 mpf also shows that neurogenesis slows down after 1.5 mpf.

These data demonstrate the existence of an overall centrifugal gradient of neurogenesis in the zebrafish pallium, with the following characteristics: (1) it originates from the deepest territory of the adult pallium, in the position of Dc and the deepest neurons of Dm, Dl, and Dp; Dc and ventrally located pallial neurons form a “core” generated at embryonic stages; (2) it progresses in the dorsal and lateral directions (and mediially at least starting at 15 dpf), neurons assembling around the core domain in progressively more superficial layers with time; and (3) it deposits neurons that arrange in an outside-in manner according to their birthdate with little or no radial mixing dorsally and laterally from the core. We postulate that pallial neurons simply sequentially stack over time as they delaminate from the VZ, contributing to pallial growth, in parallel to the enlargement of the germinal zone through symmetric RG divisions [28].

To understand pallium construction in 3D, systematic analyses were conducted at different antero-posterior levels, as well as on horizontal sections and whole-mount cleared preparations, with similar conclusions (Figure 3; Figures S4A–S4L and S5A). For example, at most anterior levels, mCherry-positive neurons only appeared in her4:ERT2CreERT2;mCherry,9TB(15dpf) animals and later (Figures 3A–3D). Taken together, our data indicate that the general logic of pallium construction is a 3-dimensional sequential stacking process where neurogenesis progresses dorsally and laterally, but also toward the anterior and posterior, from the central “core” domain over time (Figure 2G).

The her4-Positive RG Population Is Neurogenic throughout Life
To determine whether the same her4-positive RG population is neurogenic at all stages—or, in contrast, whether distinct RG subsets expressing her4 at the time of induction are transiently neurogenic—we used conditional Cre-mediated lineage tracing [21]. Double-transgenic fish for her4:ERT2CreERT2 [34] and the ubiquitous reporter ubi:switch (Tg(−3.5ubi:loxP-GFP-loxP-mCherry)) [35] were pulsed with 4-hydroxy-tamoxifen (4OHT) (hereafter her4:ERT2;ubi:Zebrabow) at 1 dpf. The approach labels with mCherry all the neurons produced by RGs expressing her4 at the time of 4OHT application, and tracks their neurogenic potential from the onset of treatment.

mCherry distribution was analyzed in the 3-mpf pallial parenchyma on median sections (equivalent to Figure 2), mCherry-labeled neurons occupied large domains extending from the VZ to a precise parenchymal boundary below which no neurons were stained (red line, Figure 4). The latter position recedes from deep to superficial as recombination is induced at later times, and is comparable to the layer hosting neurons born at the 4OHT treatment time (compare Figures 2A–2F with Figures 4A–4F). In the labeled domains, most neurons express mCherry (see also [21]). These observations indicate that, at the population level, pallial her4-positive RGs are constitutively neurogenic.

Individual her4-Positive Pallial RGs Are Neurogenic throughout Life
Neurogenesis in the mammalian neocortex is in part achieved by the constitutive neurogenic activity of individual RG progenitor cells throughout embryogenesis [4]. To further challenge the parallels between the mammalian and zebrafish neocortex, we asked whether individual zebrafish pallial RGs could sustain the entire neurogenesis process, here from 1 dpf to 3 mpf. We adapted Brainbow technology [36] [37] to visualize, in 3D, the contribution of unique embryonic RGs to the adult pallium. her4:ERT2CreERT2;ubi:Zebrabow double-transgenic embryos were mosaically recombined at 1 dpf. The corresponding adult telencephali were cleared and imaged in whole-mount view using a long-distance multiphoton confocal microscope, and clones were semi-automatically analyzed with single-cell resolution based on color ratios using Imaris (Figure 5A; Movie S1).

34 Brainbow clones (7,509 cells, from 8 pallial hemispheres) could be unambiguously mapped, including the coordinates of every constituent cell. For each individual clone, VZ cells versus neurons were counted—providing information on division mode and neurogenic activity—(Table S1), and the position of each clone was reported relative to neuroanatomical domains (schematically reconstructed in 3D from the manual segmentation of optical sections; Figure 5B) and on pallium dorsal views (Figures 5H and 5I).

Overall, the pallium appeared composed of clones of different sizes (29–654 cells), shapes, and compositions (Figures 5C–5G; Table S1). We identified 5 clone categories based on qualitative and quantitative criteria related to the neurogenic activity of their constituent NPCs. First, we distinguished clones “attached to” versus “detached from” the pallial VZ (Figures 5C–5E versus Figures 5F and 5G). Based on the sequential stacking model, the former clones identify active RGs whose neurogenic activity was continuous since its onset, whereas the latter identify RGs whose neurogenic activity was terminated before adulthood. Second, we quantitatively correlated pallial neuronal positions and neuronal birthdates (Table S2) and distinguished clones contributing to deep territories (containing neurons generated until 5 dpf) or, in contrast, confined to more superficial layers (Figures 5C, 5F, and 5G and Figures 5D and 5E, respectively). We found that “attached” clones all contained a significant number of VZ cells (Table S1), consistent with the frequent occurrence of RG-amplifying divisions already described in the adult [28]. Third, “attached” clones were largely predominant (n = 27, versus n = 7 “detached” clones). Finally, the majority of “attached” clones reached into deep pallial layers (Figure 5C; n = 16 clones of 25), 9 of them (56%) including Dc neurons (Table S1; Figure S5; Movie S2). Thus, there is a strong tendency for the neurogenic activity of individual pallial RGs to be continuous once initiated and, most remarkably, the majority of these RGs are neurogenic over an extended period of time, from embryonic stages until adulthood.

For confirmation, we backed up these results using classical Cre-lox tracing with low doses of 4OHT to obtain sparse labeling with 1–5 clones per telencephalic hemisphere, ensuring unequivocal clonality (Figures 6A and 6A; Figure S5E–S5G). Double-transgenic fish for her4:ERT2CreERT2 and the ubiquitous reporter Tg(lactin:lox-stop-lox-hmgb1-mcherry) [38] were pulsed at 5 dpf (her4:ERT2CreERT2;ubi:Zebrabow) and analyzed at 1.5 mpf (Figures 6B–6D), allowing scoring 40 additional clones (Table S3) from 26 hemispheres. mCherry-positive clones were segmented in 3D using Imaris following triple immunofluorescence to detect quiescent RGs (GS-positive, PCNA-negative),
Figure 3. Zebrafish Pallial Neurogenesis Follows a Sequential Stacking Process: Antero-posterior Analysis

Top: experimental design.
(A–D') Horizontal sections are shown and the level is indicated by a red line on telencephalon lateral view; same stainings as in Figures 2A–2F'. Red and orange arrows indicate anterior and posterior limits of the mCherry-positive neuronal layers, respectively. Red asterisks in (D) and (D') indicate RGs maintaining the mCherry label.
(E–F') Transparent whole-mount preparation of a her4^{T2a-mCherry,9TB(15dpf)} pallium at 3 mpf. The pallium is observed from different angles (E: dorsal anterior left; F: lateral oblique).
Scale bars, 100 μm.
proliferating RGs (GS-positive, PCNA-positive), and the few intermediate progenitors (GS-negative, PCNA-positive) [18, 39] (Figures 6C and 6D). The recombination at 5dpf in this case precludes the recovery of “deep” clones (as defined in Figure 5); however, the same general clone types as defined in her4Zebrafish were found using this method, with a large majority of “attached” clones (90%).

We next asked whether pallial neurogenesis modes from her4-positive RGs were subject to spatial variations along the antero-posterior and medio-lateral axes over time. We analyzed the spatial distribution across the VZ of the clone subtypes defined above (Figures 5H, 5I, 6E, and 6F). We also considered the proportion of symmetric versus neuron-generating divisions, inferred from the RG/neuron ratio within clones, and the presence or absence of intermediate progenitors (Tables S1 and S3). Although important clone-to-clone differences were observed, we found no bias in spatial distribution for either parameter, indicating that the net product of neurogenesis from individual her4-positive RGs is spatially homogeneous during pallium construction.

Birthdate-Independent Expression of Neuronal Subtype Markers in the Adult Zebrafish Pallium

Finally, we addressed the relationship between the topological organization of lineages and birthdates and neuronal identity. Except for the expression of regional markers in large areas [20, 33], the molecular identities of zebrafish adult pallial neurons are unknown. In rodent and human neocortex, several molecular markers are expressed in a lamina-specific manner [40]. For example, Ctip2, Fezf2, and ER81 are predominantly expressed by early-born L5 projection neurons [9, 41, 42]; RORb by L4 neurons [15]; Satb2, Mef2c, and Cux2 by late-born L2-L4 neurons [9, 15, 42, 43]. We established a list of “most informative candidates” based on shared expression in rodents and humans [44], notably at adult stage, and/or expression in functionally
homologous pallial territories in birds [15], and conducted an in situ hybridization screen of adult brain slices (Figure 7; Table S4). Most marker genes were expressed within the zebrafish adult pallium, but their expression patterns were neither obviously layered nor did they form nuclei or cone-like clones. We could classify expression patterns into two broad categories. In the first, genes were expressed in neurons underlying the VZ (Figures 7D–7F”; Figures S6D–S6F) (some of them only regionally; e.g., Figures 7E–E”). These genes could reveal a maturation stage (ongoing or recent neurogenesis) or, alternatively, a specific neuronal identity(ies) born around the stage of analysis (2–3 mpf). Expression at 15 dpf and 1 mpf remained confined to a stripe underlying the VZ (not shown), arguing for the first interpretation. The second category included genes expressed in a scattered pattern across the depth of the pallial parenchyma, in some cases in specific pallial subdivisions (e.g., Dm or Dl) (Figures 7A–C”; Figures S6A–S6C). Expression of these genes therefore overlaps with multiple neuronal ages, suggesting that the corresponding identities are shared by neurons born across the fish lifespan. Finally, we carefully analyzed the Dc area to determine, based on the sequential stacking model, whether some of the neocortical identities of amniotes were exclusively attributed/maintained in adult zebrafish pallial neurons born during embryogenesis. For all the markers considered, however, Dc was devoid of expression (Figure 7; Figure S6G). Within the limit of the selected marker genes chosen, these data suggest that the attribution of neuronal identities in the zebrafish pallium does not follow a simple scheme based on neuronal age or clonal lineage. To add support to this conclusion, we finally considered neurons expressing the neurotransmitters glutamate and GABA. Adult pallia from her4H2a-mCherry,9TB(5dpf) larvae were jointly processed for mCherry immunocytochemistry and in situ hybridization of vglut or gad transcripts. In both cases, positive neurons distributed within the neuronal layer born at 5 dpf, but also deeper as well as more superficially (Figure S7), confirming a broad age range for the generation of neurons of these phenotypes from the pallial VZ.
DISCUSSION

Pallium Construction in Zebrafish Shares Distinct Traits with Mammalian and Non-mammalian Amniotes, Suggesting a Basal Pallial Layout

Developmental, hodological, functional, and lineage studies have unambiguously identified a pallial entity in jawed vertebrates, including teleosts. The dorsal telencephalons in mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians, and teleosts share developmental markers [11, 12, 45–47], network, and functions at adult stage [48]. In teleosts, the preglomerular complex of the posterior tuberculum, a diencephalic nucleus relaying sensory modalities [49], is the primary source of ascending projections to a large Dm/Dl region, like the dorsal thalamus of tetrapods. The teleost pallium hosts functional fields processing spatial learning/memory (Dl; similar to the mammalian hippocampus), reward (Dm; similar to amygdalar nuclei), and cognitive tasks (Dm/Dl; resembling neocortical processing) [50–52]. Finally, Cre-mediated tracing demonstrated that these functional domains originate from the embryonic dorsal telencephalon, and their relative position fits their developmental origin when taking into account pallial eversion. These observations leave no doubt for the teleost pallium sharing developmental origin, neuroanatomical fields, and functions with that of tetrapods. From this starting point, we addressed here the key question of the pallial macroarchitecture and its generation from NSCs in zebrafish.

At the cell-population level, our results highlight the widespread and persistent neurogenic activity of zebrafish pallial RGs from embryonic stages until early adulthood (3 mpf), without major spatiotemporal gradients. A distinction should be made for the hippocampal area, where the generation of neurogenic RGs itself is delayed until early juvenile stages [21]. In all pallial territories, we failed to find evidence for major transit amplification, both from proliferation (this study) and clonal analyses [28], bringing the zebrafish pallial neurogenesis mode globally closer to that operating in amphibians, reptiles, and birds than mammals [10, 16, 47, 53–55]. Collectively, these species exhibit a net decrease in neurogenic output per NSC. The proportion of neurons per clone generated from pallial NSCs in gecko is lower than in mouse after 1 day [10]. Likewise, we find clones of 10–160 (on average 49) neurons per induced RG after 37 days (Table S3), compared to an average of 160 neurons per NSC in 11–20 days during neocortical neurogenesis in mouse [4].
Figure 7. Expression in the Adult Zebrafish Pallium of Genes Identifying Neocortical Identities in Mammals and/or Birds

In situ hybridization of 2-mpf pallia (A–F: whole-mount views from the top; A’–F’: low and high magnifications of cross-sections at mid-antero-posterior levels, respectively) for 6 genes (Table S4) displaying scattered expression spanning age layers (A–C) or expression restricted to the neurogenic domain (D–F). Scale bars, 200 μm (A–F); 100 μm (A’–F’); and 50 μm (A’’–F’’). See also Figures S6 and S7.
Our work identifies for the first time the relationship between NSC activity and the spatiotemporal generation of the adult zebrafish pallial structure. By combining genetic birthdating and clonal analyses, we reached several important conclusions: (1) pallial neurons originating from pallial VZ RGs are organized in an outside-in age-related disposition (taking as a landmark neuronal distribution relative to the position of the VZ, a more stable reference than parenchymal orientation when comparing species with an everted pallium such as teleosts); (2) neuronal deposition expands in all directions over time from a deep central core generated during embryogenesis; (3) the spatial refinement of age-related layers and centrifugal lineage clones argue, respectively, against massive neuronal migrations along the radial and horizontal (parallel to the VZ surface) dimensions; and (4) neuronal deposition is largely driven by continuous neurogenic activity from individual pallial RGs. These results reveal a sequential stacking mode of pallium construction, where the spatiotemporal control of RG activity is an important mechanism of structure generation—although we cannot formally exclude the contribution of local neuronal migrations to the final architecture.

This simple strategy shares traits with neocortical organization in mammals, birds, and reptiles. Common denominators with mammals include the disposition of pallial VZ-derived neurons in age-related layers (although lamination is cryptic in zebrafish—only artificially revealed by our Tet-on induction time points—and mostly visible in neurons generated after early larval stages), the generation of clonally related neuronal “columns,” and the neurogenic contribution of individual NSCs to all cortical layers. The latter point is particularly remarkable in zebrafish, where neurogenic RG activity extends over months. Columnar organization in mammals is proposed to reflect local functional circuitries [56]. We observed minimal inter-clone dispersion in the zebrafish pallium, also highlighting the existence of ontogenetic columns, the relevance of which needs to be studied. Finally, the inside-out disposition of excitatory neurons in the mammalian neocortex results from the radial outward migration of newborn neurons, notably following Reelin-dependent cues. The broad distribution of Reelin pathway components in the developing and adult zebrafish pallium may be non-permissive for oriented migration [57, 58].

The neocortex equivalent of other amniotes consists of a thin dorsal cortex (“Wulst” in birds) and an enlarged, laterally positioned “dorsal ventricular ridge” (DVR). The cortex shows layered arrangements in all phyla but very different cytoarchitectures: in birds, L4 and L5 neurons [15, 59, 66] support a vertical functional organization, which does not fully correspond to neuronal birthdates, as outside-in development is partially blunted by radial migrations [61]. In reptiles and turtles, the 3-layer cortex develops in an outside-in manner [16] but excitatory L4 and L5 neurons only position within layer 2, occupying different fields along the antero-posterior axis [15, 62, 63]. Finally, in all sauropsids studied, the DVR is organized in large nuclei of distinct L4 or L5 identities, at least in part corresponding to distinct birthdates [9, 15, 61]. Several mechanisms were postulated to account for generating these structures: the segregation of VZ territories concomitantly neurogenic but generating different neuronal fates in the reptilian cortex [15] and, in the bird DVR, the segregation of VZ territories with different neurogenic periods [9] or continuous VZ neurogenesis accompanied by neuronal migration and the coalescence of age-related neurons [61]. A precise comparison with the zebrafish pallium will obviously require knowledge of neuronal identities and/or analyses of connectivity. A common trait with non-mammalian amniotes is, however, the generation of outside-in age-related organizations and the most likely minimal contribution of neuronal migration events. Recently, cortical neuron generation was studied in the amphibian *Xenopus laevis* through larval stages and was also shown to display an outside-in organization [47], although the neurogenic activity of individual NPCs was not addressed.

In the absence of a fossil record of the brain, and because we are not working with ancestral species, evolutionary considerations should be made with caution. Our results first further highlight the amazing divergence in pallium construction modes of extant vertebrates, but also stress the apparent simplicity of pallial neurogenesis in the teleost zebrafish and indicate that it shares several traits with different amniote phyla. We propose that the spatiotemporal mode of zebrafish pallium construction may mimic a basal layout, from which the generation of amniote pallial structures could have diverged, with mammals evolving radial migration and layering and birds and reptiles applying regional constraints on NSC neurogenic activity. The fact that anamniote tetrapods such as *Xenopus* may use a structure generation process comparable to zebrafish [47] further supports this hypothesis.

**Ontogeny of Presumed Neuroanatomical Domains in the Zebrafish Pallium**

The cytoarchitectonic subdivisions of the zebrafish adult pallium [64] are based on the spatial organization of neuronal cell bodies within the adult parenchyma. In this regard, the present work is also important as it solves, with genetic arguments, long-standing and controversial issues on the significance of these subdivisions. We found that Dc is the sole territory matching a temporal ontogenetic window, its constituent neurons being all generated prior to 5 dpf—in a manner reminiscent of the deep domains of Dm, Dl, and Dp. We further show that Dc is generated from the VZ of Dm, Dl, and Da (Table S1). These results contradict the recent conclusion that Dc possesses its own germinative domain [33], and formally provide evidence for the hypothesis of Braford that Dc neurons do not reflect a primary pallial subdivision but correspond to the deep neurons of overlying subdivisions [65, 66]. In contrast to Dc, we find that neither Dm, Dl, nor Dp corresponds to defined temporal or clonal units.

**Encoding Pallial Neuronal Identities**

The chronological generation of different neuronal subtypes by pallial RGs is observed in all amniotes [9, 10, 15]. It is unknown, however, whether it extends to other vertebrates, and whether all neuronal subtypes are shared between species. Classical anatomical studies in teleosts suggest that superficial and deep (DC) neurons are of different identities, with periventricular pallial zones composed of small stellate neurons with widely branching dendrites receiving ascending sensory input, whereas deep pallial territories host large efferent neurons receiving most input from the more superficial neurons and, in the case of Dc, projections to the optic tectum [67-69]. The
present work demonstrates that these different neuronal subtypes are generated at different stages, periventricular neurons being “young,” whereas deep pallial neurons are “old.” Thus, at the population level, the bulk of neuronal identities most likely vary over time during development to adulthood. Because we also show that individual RGs are capable of generating, sequentially, these old then young neuronal subtypes (Figure 5), our findings suggest that the sequential encoding of neuronal identities by pallial NSCs is a shared feature extending to teleosts.

A correlated question is how neuronal identities in the zebrafish adult pallium compare with those of amniotes. Neurogenesis being lifelong in zebrafish, it is difficult to hypothesize on the expected expression of mammalian identifiers of cortical layers in the zebrafish adult pallium. Moreover, only a few of these “identifiers” in fact share expression patterns among amniotes, and are maintained in the adult brain. For this reason, we largely relied on markers expressed in similar neocortical layers in adult mouse and human [44] (Table S4) or mouse and ferret [15]. Although a majority of markers were expressed in the zebrafish adult pallium, superimposing birthdates and clones failed to extract a simple rule based on age or lineage that could account for their expression profile: there was no link between a subventricular or deep expression in the zebrafish adult pallium and mammalian markers of upper versus deep layers, and none of the markers tested identified adult neurons generated at embryonic or early larval stages. This could either indicate transient expression or neuronal subtypes that are not found in zebrafish. In support of the first hypothesis, cux1b, cux2a, satb2, sox5, bcl1aa (ctip1), and fezf2 were expressed in rather broad parenchymal domains of the 5-dpf pallium but either absent (cux1b, cux2a, satb2) or confined to the neurogenic zone (sox5, bcl1aa, fezf2) in the adult pallium (Table S4 and not shown). In addition, markers such as mef2c and cart, expressed across a broad neuronal age range in the zebrafish pallium, identify functions rather than identities in rodents [70, 71]. In support of the second hypothesis, we never found expression of bcl1bb (ctip2) or ER81, diagnostic markers of L5 neurons in amniotes, or of the conserved L4 marker Rorβ in the zebrafish pallium at either stage. Projections from the preglomerular complex argue for the existence of neurons functionally equivalent to amniote L4 neurons. Their molecular identity will need to be precisely assessed.
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## STAR METHODS

### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antibodies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-mCherry 1/300</td>
<td>Clontech</td>
<td>Cat#632496; RRID: AB_10013483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken polyclonal anti-GFP 1/1000</td>
<td>Aves lab</td>
<td>Cat#GFP-1020; RRID: AB_10000240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal (IgG2a) anti-PCNA</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>Cat# sc-56 AB-16.0387; RRID: AB_628110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal (IgG2a) anti-PCNA 1/500</td>
<td>GeneTex</td>
<td>Cat#GTX124496 AB-16.0386; RRID: AB_11161916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal (IgG1) anti-Flag 1/500</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat#F1804 AB-16.0331; RRID: AB_262044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal (IgG2a) anti-GS 1/1000Clone GS-6</td>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>Cat#MAB302 AB-16.0342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human polyclonal anti-Hu 1/10000</td>
<td>Gift from B. Zalk</td>
<td>AB-16.0356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Parvalbumine 1/2000</td>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>Cat#MAB1572 AB-16.0382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Hydroxytamoxifen</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>T176; CAS: 68392-35-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-tert-ButylDoxycycline, hydrochloride salt</td>
<td>Echelon Biosciences</td>
<td>B-0801; CAS: 233585-94-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAPI</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>D9542; CAS: 28718-90-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Critical Commercial Assays</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taq DNA polymerase</td>
<td>EmeraldAmp Takara</td>
<td>Cat# 330A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zebrafish: Tg(T2K/actin:lox-stop-lox-hmgb1-mcherry)(^{75})</td>
<td>ZFIN ID: ZDB-TGCONSTRCT-110221-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zebrafish: Tg(her4.1:EGFP)(^{83})</td>
<td>ZFIN ID: ZDB-FISH-150901-14830</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zebrafish: Tg((-3)her4.3:ERT2-Cre-ERT2)(^{83})</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zebrafish: Tg(3.5ubi/loxP-GFP-loxP-mCherry)</td>
<td>ZFIN ID: ZDB-ALT-070612-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zebrafish: Tg(ubl:LOX2272-LOXP-RFP-LOX2272-CFP-LOXP-YFP)(^{13}), ubi:Zebrabow-M</td>
<td>ZFIN ID: ZDB-ALT-110825-11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zebrafish: Tg(her4:rtTA, cmlc2:GFP)</td>
<td>ZFIN ID: ZDB-PUB-101209-26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zebrafish: Tg(GFP:biTRE:H2amCherry,crist:Venus)</td>
<td>ZFIN ID: ZDB-ALT-130816-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oligonucleotides</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rtTA probe fw: ATGTCTAGACTGGACAAGAGCAAAGTC, rv:GGATCCATTAACCTAAAGGGACTAACTGTCGACCTTGTC.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>her4AttB4-fw: GGGGACAACTTTGTATAGAAAAGTTGTTTTGCAT</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>her4AttB1-Rv: GGGGACTGCTTTTTTGTACAAACTTGGTCA</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tetOn Toolkit</td>
<td>[31]</td>
<td><a href="https://www.bio.umass.edu/biology/jensen/node/5">https://www.bio.umass.edu/biology/jensen/node/5</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recombinant DNA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>her4:rtTA,GFP:cmlc2</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP:biTRE:H2amCherry,crist:Venus</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Software and Algorithms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imaris (Spot Segmentation)</td>
<td>Bitplane</td>
<td><a href="http://www.bitplane.com/imaris/imaris">http://www.bitplane.com/imaris/imaris</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photoshop CS6</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZEN Black software</td>
<td>Carl Zeiss, Germany</td>
<td><a href="https://www.zeiss.fr/microscopie/produits/microscope-software/zen.html">https://www.zeiss.fr/microscopie/produits/microscope-software/zen.html</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATLAB 2014b, 2015b (Data analysis)</td>
<td>MathWorks</td>
<td><a href="http://www.mathworks.com">http://www.mathworks.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Laure Bally-Cuif (laure.bally-cuif@pasteur.fr). Sharing of zebrafish lines and constructs are subject to MTA request from Institut Pasteur.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Zebrafish Lines
The her4::rtTA, GFP::cmlc2 and the GFP::biTRE:H2amCherry, crist::Venus constructs were obtained with the gateway strategy and the TetOn Toolkit [31]. The her4.1 regulatory region was amplified as a 2411bp fragment by PCR using AB wild-type fish genomic DNA as template, followed by a BP reaction with pDONR P4-P1R (Tol2 kit) [72]. The Tg(her4::rtTA, GFP::cmlc2) and the Tg(GFP::biTRE: H2amCherry, crist::Venus) transgenic lines were made by injecting 1-cell embryos with a mix containing 15ng/μL of plasmid and 15ng/μL of transposase capped RNA.

Fish maintenance and staging
Embryos/larvae up to 5dpf were maintained and staged as described [74]. Juvenile fish were staged by size as described in [21]. Adult zebrafish (3-6-month old) were maintained using standard fish husbandry protocols. Animals of both sexes were used indiscriminately.

Ethical approval
All experiments were carried out in accordance with the official regulatory standards of the Department of Essonne (agreement number A 91-577 to L.B.-C.) and Department of Paris (agreement number B75-15-22 to L.B.-C.).

METHOD DETAILS

4OHT and 9TB treatments
4OHT treatments were performed as described [35] (10so.: 5μM, 4 hr; 1 or 2 dpf: 5μM, 6 hr; 5 dpf: 5μM, 30 hr; 1.5mpf: 5μM, 100 hr). Fish were then washed, transferred into fresh water, and grown as usual. For clonal analyses, 1dpf Tg(her4::ERT2CreERT2::ubi:zebrabow) embryos were recombined for 1 hr with 5μM 4OHT and 5dpf Tg(her4::ERT2CreERT2::3.5ubi:loxP-GFP-loxP-mCherry) larvae for 10min with 0.5μM 4OHT. 9TB was dissolved in water at a final stock concentration of 5mg/mL, then diluted in embryo medium prior to use (≤2 dpf: 2.5μg/mL, 6 hr; 5 dpf – 15 dpf: 10μg/mL, 6 hr; 1.5 mpf: 10μg/mL, 96 hr). 9TB treatments were performed in the dark at 28°C. In the Tg(GFP::biTRE:H2amCherry, crist::Venus) line, a small GFP cluster was visible in the parenchyma at posterior levels even in the absence of treatment (not shown); it did not interfere with our analysis. For polyclones analysis, 9TB was applied at 0.5μg/mL for 10min onto 1dpf embryos. The embryos were then rinsed, grown and analyzed at 5dpf. Control experiments to assess clonality were analyzed on embryos treated with 0.5μM 4OHT for 10min at 5dpf, followed by a one- to two-day chase (for mCherry to be visible). Nearest neighbor distances were calculated per hemisphere (Imaris spot to spot closest distance on 20 larval hemispheres) and their cumulative probability distribution was plotted. We chose induction conductions such that in more than 85% of cases, induced cells were distant from each other of more than 15 μm (equivalent to 4 cells diameters). Our brainbow analysis indicates very few cases, if any, of clone fragmentations. We therefore estimated that an initial distance of 4-cell diameters in the majority of cases should be sufficient to avoid this issue. To assess the occurrence of clone fusion, we also compared the estimated numbers of clones at time t+2days and at the analysis time point, 1.5mpf, and found that both were equivalent (Figure S5). Three hemispheres with ambiguous cases were discarded, and isolated mCherry cells, which were often observed, were not analyzed.

Immunohistochemistry and In Situ Hybridization
Immunohistochemistry and in situ hybridization were performed as described previously [18, 75]. The gad probes were a mix of gad65/67a/67b; the vglut probes were a mix of vglut1/2.1/2.2, as published in [76].

Whole-mount brain clearing
Whole zebrafish dissected adult brains were cleared using the SCALE approach [77] and mounted in glycerol 35%. Whole her4::H2a-mCherry,9TB(15dpf) mCherry immunostained brains were cleared using the CUBIC approach [78] with the following incubations: CUBIC-1, 37°C, overnight; primary antibody, 37°C, 48 hr; secondary antibody, 37°C, 24 hr, CUBIC-2, overnight. Mounting was done in CUBIC-2.

Confocal microscopy, image acquisition and processing
All images except Figures 3E–3F, 5, S5, S6, and 7 were taken using an inverted confocal microscope (Zeiss LSM700) and processed with the ZEN 2011 software (Carl Zeiss MicroImaging). Figures 2, 3A–3D, and S4A–S4L images are tile scans followed by maximum intensity projections of 8 squares and around 20 confocal optical sections. Images were then processed with Imaris or Photoshop CS6. Figures 3E–3F, S7M, and S7N were processed with a median filter 3x3x3 followed or not by removal of object bigger than 250μm to remove background in the vessels or surface background. In situ hybridization pictures (Figure 7; Figure S6) were
photographed with a Zeiss Axiozoom V6 Macroscope. CUBIC cleared brain was imaged using an upright confocal microscope (Zeiss LSM710) with a Plan-Apochromat 20X/0.8 M27 objective.

**Multiphoton microscopy and related image analysis**

Large-volume multicolor two-photon microscopy was performed using the wavelength mixing method described in [79]. Imaging was performed on a lab-built multiphoton point-scanning microscope constructed around an inverted frame (IX-70, Olympus, Japan) and integrating galvo scanners (VM500+, GSI, USA), a high-index immersion objective with 4mm working distance (XLPN25XSVM, Olympus) and a motorized sample stage for mosaic acquisition (PS3H122 and ProScan H117, Prior Scientific). Excitation was provided by a Titanium-sapphire oscillator (Chameleon Ultra2, Coherent, USA) and an optical parametric oscillator (compact OPO, Coherent, USA). For simultaneous excitation of CFP, YFP and RFP signals, TiS, OPO and two-color equivalent excitation

\[ \lambda_3 = \frac{2}{(1/\lambda_{TiS} + 1/\lambda_{OPO})} \]

wavelengths were set to 850 nm, 1100 nm and 959 nm, respectively. Nonlinear signals were selected with appropriate dichroics (Semrock FF520-Di02 FF560-Di01) and filters (Semrock FF01-475/64 FF01-538/40 FF01-607/70), and epidetected on three separate channels by photomultiplier modules (P25PC SensTech UK and H7422P-40 Hamamatsu Japan) and lab-designed photon counting electronics. The pixel dwell time was 12 μs, and the voxel size was 0.8 × 0.8 × 2 μm³. For whole pallium imaging, cleared brains were mounted on Scale media between two 150 μm-thick glass coverslips separated by a spacer, and a mosaic of 9 × 6 volumes each encompassing 260 × 285 × 1000 μm³ with a 20% lateral overlap was recorded.

Multicolor multiphoton stacks were preprocessed for flat-field correction and stitched with the open-source FIJI **Image Stitching** plugin using the **Max Intensity** fusion method [80]. Unlike cells, blood vessels exhibited intense fluorescence in all three channels and appeared “white.” These signals were removed using MATLAB by zeroing pixels having this characteristic. This processing step removed the vessels images without affecting cell signals. Semi-automatic cell detection was then performed using the Imaris Spot detection tool separately in the three channels. The automated detection exhibited an error rate (missed cells and false positives) of 50%–60%. Manual correction was then performed, which led to an uncertainty rate of 3%–5% for cell detection. Finally, clones were manually identified, based on cell colors, spatial clustering and cell sizes. The sparsity of labeling led to only a few ambiguous cases, which were discarded.

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

All details on the number of brains, hemispheres or cells processed can be found in the text and legends for figures (Figures S5E and S5F). n = 20 pallial hemispheres at 1dpt and 24 pallial hemispheres at 1.5mpt. The numbers in bracket indicate the number of hemispheres concerned for each number of induced cells/clones (Figure 5F). Data are presented as mean ± SEM, and statistical differences were determined using t test, p < 0.25. sem: 0.32 at 1dpt, 0.28 at 1.5mpt. For Figure S5B, n = 3 brains, t-test, non significant.